App. F. IX-4

Previous References

Previous

1934-35 (CS)

File No. 135/34

Serial Nos. 1

Later References.

CS 20-35-4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1

Part B for Feb. 1935

SUBJECT.

Representation of Mr. N. R. Janga Rao, Assistant Secretary to Government, Law Deptt., regarding his increment.

WD 01965—GPB—15,000—7-7-34

Letter No.205 GAD dated 21st Deeember 1934 from the Comptroller to Government to the Chief Secretary to Government.

--:0:--

Adverting to para 3 of Government Order No.Fl.628-87/ S.& A. 23-34-1 dated 23rd July 1934 laying down general rules in regard to the application of the revised scales of pay, I have the honor to note below the names of Gazetted Officers of your department to whom the revised scale of pay is applicable, their pay or salary on 6th March 1934 in the revised grade and the date of their next increment.

Name of officer.	Salary on 6-3-34	Date of next increment with salary.
xx	xx	xx
2. Mr.N.R.Jaya Rao, M.A.,LL.D.	Rs.200/-	Rs.225/- from 1-7-36 vide also G.O.No. 3816-7/C.B.162-33-1 of 19-6-34.
xx	xx	xx

Copy to the Asst Secy, Law Section, with Compliments for info.

C. A. Srinivasa Char
Regr.

hks.3

Submitted: [illegible]

The above letter of the

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0434—GPB—15,000—12-10-33

Serial No.

Comptroller shows that the revised scale of pay of the 2nd grade Munsiffs viz 150 - 25/2 - 250 has been applied to me, though in the Govt order of 19th June 1934 ~~confirming~~ directing the appointment of the Assistant Secy to Govt, Law Deptt being made permanent & included in the cadre of 2nd grade Munsiffs, the scale of pay fixed is 150 - 25/2 - 200 - 25 - 250. Under this G.O I am entitled to draw Rs 225/- from 1st July 1935 while as per the Comptroller's letter I can draw this Salary only from 1st July 1936.

The Comptroller apparently treats me as being ~~appointed~~ confirmed after 6th march 1934 and therefore coming under para 2 (1) of the G.O No: Fl 628-87-S&A 23-34-1 dated 23 July 1934. I respectfully submit that it would be very unfair to me to take the date of the G.O confirming me, ie 19th June 1934 as the date of my appointment to the cadre of munsiffs for the purpose of the application of the revised scale. The very circumstances that led to my appointment being included in the Cadre of munsiffs and the principle on which my salary and the further

Serial No.

increments in that cadre were fixed show that I was treated as if I was confirmed or appointed to the cadre of munsiffs on 1st July 1930, the date when I was appointed as Asst to the Legal Remembrancer on a scale of pay equal to that of the 2nd grade munsiffs. I therefore submit that for purposes of the application of the revised scale, I may be treated as if I was appointed on 1st July 1930 and consequently to continue in the old scale. Otherwise I will be in a worse position than many of my juniors and the situation will be anamolous.

Assuming however that for purposes of the application of the revised scale, I am treated as having been appointed after 6th March 1934, even then, I submit, the principle of sub para 2 (a) of para 2 of the G.O of 23.7.1934, laying down general rule, regarding the application of the revised scale of pay, ~~may be is that my salary in the new grade with the said date~~ may be made applicable to my case. Then, since I have drawn Rs 200/- from 1st July 1932, I must count the time during which I have drawn this salary, for increment to the next stage and therefore I must be allowed to draw Rs 225/- from 1st July 1934 and Rs 250/- from 1st July 1936, instead of drawing only Rs 225/- from 1st July 1936 as indicated by the Comptroller.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0434—GPB—15,000—12-10-33

CD. 1158

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

Apart from all this, I submit that my representation on which the Govt order of 19/6/34 confirming me as munsiff was passed, was made as early as april or may 1933 and it was only my misfortune that caused an inordinate delay in the disposal of my case. I submit that I should not be made to further suffer on account of this delay, which would be the case if I am now deprived of the old scale allowed to me in the G.O of 19.6.34, merely because the said G.O was passed after 6th march 1934 and not before.

Chief Secretary
Thro' Govt Secy } P.N. Jesudas
15-1-1935

It would be extremely hard if Mr. Jaya Rao's increment should be delayed till 1936. He has very serious work to do and I think deserves special consideration.

For favour of early. Illegible
15.1.35.

RZ
15/1/34

Fin Sec (Attd Comptr)

Je 16/1/35
17/1/35.

M. Illegible

Mr Jaya Rao has been appointed permanent Munsiff and his place has been fixed between Messrs Abdul Nabi & Seinivasaraghavalu

1158

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. of 193 . | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

Fr 1440

These two Continue in the old Grade as they are permanent in it from a period prior to March '34. Though Mr Daya Rao has been Confirmed as Munsiff in June '34 it has been laid down that he should be regarded as one having reached Rs 200 on 1.7.34 - In the Munsiff's Grade 150 - 25 - 200 - 25 - 250 it takes four years to reach 200. It is thus the intention of Govt to regard him as one Confirmed in the minimum pay of this Grade on (1.7.27).

1.7.30

I am therefore of opinion that he has to be regarded as having been appointed in the old Grade with Effect from this date (1.7.27) and so allowed to Continue in the old Grade like his junior Mr Timmaraya Setti.

1.7.30

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL 29 JAN. 1935 No. 5348

24/1

Dewan

RR

25/1/35.

DEWAN'S OFFICE 29 JAN. 1935 No. 4205

I agree

29/1/35

29-1-35

RR

30.1.35

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0434—GPB—15,000—12-10-33

Repn of Mr. N.R. Jaya Rao

File No. of 193 . 1158

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

Subs with a draft for approval

(The date 1.7.1927 in the Fin. Secy's note seems to be an oversight for 1-7-1930)

xmR 31.1.35

CfA 2-2-35

Under Secy.

yes

6-2-35

CE 4-7-35

Submd. for perusal before issue

CfA 5/2/35

Fin Secy.

6.2.35

draft and fair rule.

Pl-Gen. 1221

7/2/35

Issue

8/2/35.

1675 dated 6.2.35

The Comptroller to Govt.

State that Mr N.R. Jaya Rao may be allowed to continue in the old grade, Rs 150-25/2-200-25=250.

B

1675/CS.185-34-1

96/435-

To

The Comptroller to Government.

Bangalore.

(Through Fin. Secretariat)

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to your letter No.205 G.A.D. dated 21st Decr.34, intimating among other things, that the revised scale of pay of second grade of Munsiffs is applicable in the case of Mr. N.R.Jaya Rao, Asst. Secretary to Govt., Law Section, and that the next increment raising his pay to Rs.225/- would accrue from 1st July 1936.

A reference to G.O. No.3816-17/C.B.162-33-1 dated 19th June 1934 indicates that, though Mr. Jaya Rao was confirmed as Munsiff in June 1934, it was intended that he should be treated for purposes of drawing his future increments as if he reached a pay of Rs.200/- in the grade on 1st July 1934, i.e., on a par with one confirmed on the minimum pay of the grade of 150-25/2-200-25-250 on 1st July 1930. Further his place in the cadre was definitely between Messrs.C.Abdul Nabi and K.R.Thimmaraya Setty both of whom continue in the old grade of Munsiffs (Rs.150-25/2-200-25-250) as they are permanent in it from a period prior to 6th March 1934.

In the foregoing circumstances, I am to state that Mr. N.R.Jaya Rao should be allowed to continue in the old grade of 150-25/2-200-25-250

like his junior Mr. Thimmaraya Setti, and draw increments accordingly.

I have, etc.,

for Chief Secretary to Government.

Copy to the Registrar, High Court (Secs)
Copy to Mr. Jagga Rao. — Secl

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

No. 1697 C.S. 130-34-1 General and Revenue Secretariat,

Dated, Bangalore, 6th February ..... *193* 5.

FROM

The Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

(Through Financial Secretariat.)

SIR,

I am directed to invite reference to your letter No. 205/GAD. dated 21st December 1934 intimating among other things that the revised scale of pay of second grade of Munsiffs is applicable in the case of Mr. N. R. Jaya Rao, Assistant Secretary to Government, Law Section and that the next increment raising his pay to Rs.225/- would accrue from 1st July 1936.

A reference to Government Order No. 3816-17/C.B. 162-33-1 dated 19th June 1934 indicates that, though Mr. Jaya Rao was confirmed as Munsiff in June 1934, it was intended that he should be treated for purposes of drawing his future increments as if he reached a pay of Rs.200/- in the grade on 1st July 1934, i.e., on a par with one confirmed on the minimum pay of the grade of Rs.150-25/2-200-25-250 on 1st July 1930. Further, his place in the cadre was definitely between Messrs. C. Abdul Nabi and K. R. Thimmaraya Setty both of whom continue in the old grade of Munsiffs (Rs.150-25/2-200-25-250) as they are permanent in it from a period prior to 6th March 1934.

In the foregoing circumstances, I am to state that Mr. N. R. Jaya Rao should be allowed to continue in the old grade of

SCHEDULE OF ALL EXECUTIVE AND LEGISLATIVE ACTIONS.

To. General and Corporate Secretaries.

Dated, Bangalore ... 193

From

The Chief Secretary to the G... of Mysore.

To

Sir,

Rs.150-25/2-200-25-250 like his junior Mr. Thimmaraya Setti and draw increments accordingly.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Chief Secretary to Government.